### **DEVELOPING LOW COST WEARABLES** TO TRACK EARLY HOME ENVIRONMENTS IN NEURODIVERGENT CHILDREN

Prof Sam Wass <u>s.v.wass@uel.ac.uk</u> twitter @profsamwass

2022/05/09 08:36:03



Environments influence children. They influence each child differently.

















Environments influence children. They influence each child differently.





But our current methods for measuring how environments influence children are extremely limited.



But our current methods for measuring how environments influence children are extremely limited.

...questionnaires, lab-based observations...









Economic and Social Research Council



European Research Council Established by the European Commission

















Wearable sensors



Wearable sensors









levels





Wearable sensors



SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT









Wearable sensors







CNS arousal

### How do early environments influence children?

...looking over multiple timescales.

...very sensitive to individual differences.

### Our aim

# Make this technology suitable for use with neurodevelopmental populations

# The Team



Dr Marwa Mahmoud (Glasgow, Computer Scientist)



Jeremy Curtis (Cambridge, Electrical Engineer)



Prof Pasco Fearon (Cambridge, Clinician)



Gemma Goldenberg (London, Parent of neurodivergent children)



Prof Carlo Schuengel (Amsterdam, Clinicial Child and Family Studies)

# **Main objectives**

### 1 Hardware

- Optimise current devices for use with neurodivergent children
- Optimise current clothing for use with neurodivergent children





# **Main objectives**

#### 2 Software

- Change current analysis packages to ensure suitability for neurodivergent children (e.g. optimising vocalisation detection algorithms for children with ASC)
- Make analysis packages open-source and suitable e.g. for use with clinicians





# **Main objectives**

### **3** Ethical implications

 security, privacy and safeguarding issues, ensuring that the special needs of neurodivergent children are met

N=600 longitudinal dataset funded by UKRI (ESRC, MRC) and the European Research Council from mostly typical population aged 4 months to 6 years and their caregivers (Wass/Jones)

N=600 longitudinal dataset funded by UKRI (ESRC, MRC) and the European Research Council from mostly typical population aged 4 months to 6 years and their caregivers (Wass/Jones)

COST Action – European networks for studying environmental variability

N=600 longitudinal dataset funded by UKRI (ESRC, MRC) and the European Research Council from mostly typical population aged 4 months to 6 years and their caregivers (Wass/Jones)

COST Action – European networks for studying environmental variability

ESRC Early Life Cohort Study

N=600 longitudinal dataset funded by UKRI (ESRC, MRC) and the European Research Council from mostly typical population aged 4 months to 6 years and their caregivers (Wass/Jones)

COST Action – European networks for studying environmental variability

ESRC Early Life Cohort Study

...open to suggestions for possible studies involving neurodivergent children!!

N=600 longitudinal dataset funded by UKRI (ESRC, MRC) and the European Research Council from mostly typical population aged 4 months to 6 years and their caregivers (Wass/Jones)

COST Action – European networks for studying environmental variability

ESRC Early Life Cohort Study

...open to suggestions for possible studies involving neurodivergent children!!

Understanding individual differences in sensitivity to environmental features

Personally tailored environments/interventions

#### MENTION TEAM MORE



















www.uelbabydev.com







Prof Sam Wass <u>s.v.wass@uel.ac.uk</u> twitter @profsamwass

# Thank you!





Economic and Social Research Council



European Research Council Established by the European Commission

CellPress

#### Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry \*\*:\* (2019), pp \*\*-\*\*

doi:10.1111/jcpp.13084

#### Influences of environmental stressors on autonomic function in 12-month-old infants: understanding early common pathways to atypical emotion regulation and cognitive performance

#### Sam V. Wass,<sup>1</sup> 💿 Celia G. Smith,<sup>2</sup> Katie R. Daubney,<sup>1</sup> Zeynep M. Suata,<sup>2</sup> Kaili Clackson,<sup>3</sup> Abdul Begum,<sup>1</sup> and Farhan U. Mirza<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Psychology, University of East London, London; <sup>2</sup>Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London; <sup>2</sup>Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge; <sup>3</sup>School of Health Professions, University of Pymouth, Plymouth, UK

Background: Previous research has suggested that children exposed to more early-life stress show worse mental health outcomes and impaired cognitive performance in later life, but the mechanisms subserving these relationships remain poorly understood. Method: Using miniaturised microphones and physiological arousal monitors (electrocardiography, heart rate variability and actigraphy), we examined for the first time infantis' autonomic reactions to environmental stressors (noise) in the home environment, in a sample of 82 12-month-old infants from mixed demographic backgrounds. The same infants also attended a laboratory testing battery where attention - and emotion-eliciting stimuli were presented. We examined how children's environmental noise exposure levels at home related to their autonomic reactivity and to their behavioural performance in the laboratory. Results: individual differences in total noise exposure were independent of other socioeconomic and parenting winkibes. Children exposed to higher and more rapidly fluctuation providence and none to tables at nonemic totables and nonemic stresses and nonemic totables and nonemic totables

#### Introduction

One well-replicated finding within psychology and psychiatry is that early exposure to stressful environments increases the risk of adverse long-term outcomes, including mental disorder (Businelle et al., 2013; Felitti et al., 1998) and cognitive impairment (Blair, 2010; Evans & Schamberg, 2009). Recent research has suggested that the increased mental health risk conferred by early-life stress is transdiagnostic, and not disorder-specific (Conway, Raposa, Hammen, & Brennan, 2018), offering some vital clues as to aetiological pathways (Karmiloff-Smith, 1998). Early-developing impairments in brainstem-mediated arousal and regulation circuits may act as a common pathway, causing developmental impairments in domains such as socioemotional self-regulation, inhibitory control, executive, verbal and motor functions, and cognitive processing (Geva & Feldman, 2008). Even minor alterations in responses to daily stressors may trigger a cascade of changes which cumulatively constitute a vulnerability to or risk factor for later psychopathology (Borsboom & Cramer, 2013; Charles, Piazza, Mogle, Sliwinski, & Almeida, 2013; Sonuga-Barke, Koerting, Smith, McCann, & Thompson, 2011; Trull,

Conflict of interest statement: No conflicts declared.

© 2019 Association for Child and Adolescent Mental Health Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford OX4 2DQ, UK and 350 Main St, Malden, MA 02148, USA

Lane, Koval, & Ebner-Priemer, 2015; Wichers, Wigman, & Myin-Germeys, 2015).

Stress is an umbrella term used to refer to actual life events or situations (Stressors) and to the cognitive and biological responses that such situations evoke ('stress responses) (Epel et al., 2018). Both aspects can be studied over multiple timescales. Stressors range from lifelong factors (e.g. poverty) to short-term ones (e.g. a cup of tea spilled on a laptop); stress responses diversely include both long- and slow-acting changes in diverse endocrine and nervous systems designed to help an organism maintain homestais and allostasis in the face of change (Cannon, 1915; McCall et al., 2015; Selye, 1951).

Within psychology and psychiatry, researchers generally measure early-life stress using questionnaire assessments that identify lifelong stressors, such as parential conflict and emotional abue (Felitti et al., 1998). Over recent years, we are increasingly becoming aware that short-term factors, such as environmental noise, also cause stress responses. Understanding these is particularly important given our current rapid urbanisation as a species, with concomitant increase in noisy and cramped living environments (Evans. 2004).

Nonauditory effects of noise are known to occur at levels far below those required to damage hearing

Pease of the the article in proces as: Wase et al. Parents Mirrie and Influence Their Infant's Autonomic State through Dynamic Affective State Matching, Current Biology (2019), https://dxi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.06.016

Report

#### Parents Mimic and Influence Their Infant's Autonomic State through Dynamic Affective State Matching

Samuel Vincent Wass, <sup>1,4\*</sup> Colia Grace Smith, <sup>2</sup> Kali Clackson, <sup>2</sup> Catilin Gibb, <sup>1</sup> Joan Eitznberger, <sup>1</sup> and Farhan Umar Mirza<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup> Department of Psychology, University of East London, Water Lane, London E15 43,2, UK <sup>2</sup> Pisstitute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London E15 10 Creepigny Park, London SE5 8AF, UK <sup>3</sup> Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EB, UK <sup>4</sup> School of Health Professions, University of Plymouth, Derriford Road, Plymouth PL6 8BH, UK

\*Correspondence: s.v.wass@uel.ac.uk https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.06.016

#### SUMMARY

When we see someone experiencing an emotion, and when we experience it ourselves, common neurophysiological activity occurs [1, 2]. But although inter-dyadic synchrony, concurrent and sequential [3], has been identified, its functional significance remains inadequately understood. Specifically, how do influences of partner A on partner B reciprocally influence partner A? For example, if I am experiencing an affective state and someone matches their physiological state to mine, what influence does this have on methe person experiencing the emotion? Here, we investigated this using infant-parent dyads. We developed miniaturized microphones to record spontaneous vocalizations and wireless autonomic monitors to record heart rate, heart rate variability, and movement in infants and parents concurrently in naturalistic settings. Overall. we found that infant-parent autonomic activity did not covary across the day-but that "high points" of infant arousal led to autonomic changes in the parent and that instances where the adult showed greater autonomic responsivity were associated with faster infant quieting. Parental responsivity was higher following peaks in infant negative affect than in positive affect. Overall, parents responded to increases in their child's arousal by increasing their own. However, when the overall arousal level of the dvad was high, parents responded to elevated child arousal by decreasing their own arousal. Our findings suggest that autonomic state matching has a direct effect on the person experiencing the affective state and that parental co-regulation may involve both connecting and disconnecting their own arousal state from that of the child contingent on context.

#### RESULTS

Since John Donne asserted that "no man [or woman] is an Iland, intire of itselfe" [4], many researchers have followed him in

operationalizing affective states not as private mental concepts but rather as properties that "resonate" [5] in "interpersonal" space [5–9]. Certainly, we know that common patterns of neurophysiological activity occur when we observe someone else experiencing emotional states, such as disgust or pain, and when we experience them ourselves, e.g. [1, 2]. But we understand little about how these time-lagged interpersonal influences, sometimes characterized formally as "sender-receiver" relationships [10], influence thructions such as emotion regulation, which are usually considered from an endogenous perspective [3, 5, 8, 11, 12].

To address this question, we developed miniaturized microphones, electrocardiograms, and actigraphs to record vocalizations and track both infants' and parents' autonomic changes across the day in home settings (Figures 1A and 1B). We examined how adult and infant arousal levels co-fluctuate during the day (concurrent synchrony) [3] and whether changes in the infant's arousal forward-predict changes in the parent's and vice versa (sequential synchrony) [13]. We examined whether these bidirectional parent-child influences were present across all data analyzed (analysis 2) or limited to moments of peak arousal in the infant (analysis 3) and whether they were stronger during negative or positive infant affect (analyses 3 and 4). We also examined whether parental responsivity to a "peak" arousal event predicted infants' subsequent recovery (analysis 5). Finally, we examined how parents' responsiveness to arousal changes in their child varied contingent on the parent's initial level of arousal (analysis 6).

#### Descriptive Analyses—Analysis 1 Figures 2A-2C show descriptive plots of our data.

#### Concurrent Synchrony in Parent-Infant Arousal-Analysis 2

We conducted a cross-correlation analysis [13–16] to examine whether, across all samples, three is an association between the parent's arousal level and the infant's. This analysis (Figure S2) identified only a weak, non-significant ( $\rho = 0.15$ ) association between parents' arousal levels and their infant's. This suggests that autonomic activity between infants and parents does not covary across the day.

This finding leaves open the possibility that associations between a parent's and infant's arousal levels are present at certain